QED Radiation in Vincia

Rob Verheyen

With Peter Skands & Ronald Kleiss

Work in progress







Introduction

Vincia is a parton shower plugin for Pythia based on antenna factorization

Currently Vincia only does QCD radiation We want to include QED radiation too

Giele, Kosower, Skands:1102.2126 Gehrmann, Ritzmann, Skands:1108.6172

Current approaches to photon radiation

DGLAP

- Resums collinear photon logarithms
- Interleaving with QCD shower

YFS

- Resums soft photon logarithms
- Collinear logarithms can be included, but not resummed
- Afterburner to add soft photons

I'll discuss the three algorithms for photon emission we're implementing



Leading Color Gluon Emission

Factorization

$$|M(..,p_{a},k,..)|^{2} \xrightarrow{p_{a}||k} g^{2}C\frac{P(z)}{p_{a}\cdot k}|M(..,p_{a}+k,..)|^{2}$$
$$|M(..,p_{a},k,p_{b},..)|^{2} \xrightarrow{k \to 0} g^{2}C\left[\frac{2p_{a}\cdot p_{b}}{(p_{a}\cdot k)(k\cdot p_{b})} - \frac{m_{a}^{2}}{(p_{a}\cdot k)^{2}} - \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{(p_{b}\cdot k)^{2}}\right]|M(..,p_{a},p_{b},..)|^{2}$$





Leading Color Gluon Emission

Factorization

$$|M(.., p_a, k, p_b, ..)|^2 \approx g^2 C \, a_e^{QCD}(p_a, k, p_b) |M(.., p'_a, p'_b, ..)|^2$$





Illustration: G. Salam

Photon Emission

Factorization

$$|M(..,p_{a},k,..)|^{2} \xrightarrow{p_{a}||k} e^{2}Q_{a}^{2}\frac{P(z)}{p_{a}\cdot k}|M(..,p_{a}+k,..)|^{2}$$
$$|M(\{p\},k)|^{2} \xrightarrow{k \to 0} -e^{2}\sum_{[a,b]}Q_{a}Q_{b}\left[\frac{2p_{a}\cdot p_{b}}{(p_{a}\cdot k)(k\cdot p_{b})} - \frac{m_{a}^{2}}{(p_{a}\cdot k)^{2}} - \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{(p_{b}\cdot k)^{2}}\right]|M(\{p\})|^{2}$$





Photon Emission

Factorization

$$|M(\{p\},k)|^2 \approx e^2 a_e^{QED}(\{p\},k) |M(\{p'\})|^2$$

$$a_e^{QED}(\{p\},k) = -\sum_{[a,b]} Q_a Q_b a_e^{QCD}(p_a,k,p_b)$$

$$n \to n+1 \text{ branching}$$

Ordering scale

$$t = p_{\perp}^2 = 4 \frac{p_a \cdot k \, p_b \cdot k}{m^2}$$

Photon emissions are a multi-scale problem Goal: recast this $n \rightarrow n+1$ branching into a (set of) $2 \rightarrow 3$ branchings



Option 1: Pairing



Incoherent Pairing

Pythia-like approach: Include only one antenna function for every fermion

$$a_{e}^{QED}(\{p\},k) = Q_{f_{1}^{+}}Q_{f_{1}^{-}}a_{e}^{QCD}(p_{f_{1}^{+}},k,p_{f_{1}^{-}}) + Q_{f_{2}^{+}}Q_{f_{2}^{-}}a_{e}^{QCD}(p_{f_{2}^{+}},k,p_{f_{2}^{-}}) + \dots$$

Competition between independent radiators

- Correct collinear behaviour
- Only includes some eikonal factors

Pair up the fermions to minimise
$$m_{f_{1}^{+}f_{1}^{-}}^{2} + m_{f_{2}^{+}f_{2}^{-}}^{2} + ...$$



Incoherent Pairing

Photon radiation should decrease as the angle between opposite charges decreases

Emission scales are kinematically restricted by the antenna mass





Turns out this is a well-known problem from graph theory!





Let's look at an example to see how it works

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1^- & f_2^- & f_3^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1^+ & f_2^- & f_3^- \\ f_1^+ & f_2^+ \\ f_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 50 & 30 \\ 5 & 15 & 10 \\ 35 & 50 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$$



Step 1: Subtract the lowest row element from all rows

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1^- & f_2^- & f_3^- \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1^+ \\ f_1^+ \\ f_2^+ \\ f_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 20 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 & 5 \\ 15 & 30 & 0 \end{bmatrix} -30$$



Step 2: Subtract the lowest column element from all rows

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1^- & f_2^- & f_3^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1^+ & f_2^- & f_3^- \\ f_1^+ & f_2^+ \\ f_3^+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \\ 15 & 20 & 0 \end{bmatrix} -10$$



Step 3: Find the minimal line covering



If the line covering is maximal (n=3), pairing with cost 0 can be found



Step 4: Find the lowest uncovered element





Step 4: Subtract that number from all uncovered element Add it to all doubly covered elements



And go back to step 3





Now we are able to find an optimal pairing!

 $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ complexity, so not computationally prohibitive



Option 2: Coherent



Coherent Emission



$$t = \min\left(p_{\perp ij}^2\right) = \min\left(4\frac{p_i \cdot k \, p_j \cdot k}{m^2}\right)$$

But there's a problem...



Sudakov Veto Algorithm Find q(t) > f(t)





Coherent Emission

We need an overestimate for the branching kernel

$$a_e^{QED} = -\sum_{[a,b]} Q_a Q_b a_e^{QCD}(p_a, k, p_b)$$

It's possible to find one, but...



The algorithm is slow!



Option 3: Coherent Weighted



Sudakov Veto Algorithm





Coherent Weighted Emission

Use an event-based incomplete overestimate





Summary

We're implementing three ways of doing photon emissions

- 1. Incoherent Pairing
 - Fast
 - Not coherent, but has most important eikonals
- 2. Coherent Unweighted
 - Slow
 - Fully coherent
- 3. Coherent Weighted
 - Fast
 - Weighted events



Extra Slides



Comparison - Coherence





Comparison - DGLAP equation



